Metropolitan Transportation Commission Programming and Allocations Committee

April 12, 2006 Item Number 2c

Resolution No. 3749

Subject: FY 2006-07 FTA Section 5310 Elderly and Persons with Disabilities

Program

Background: The Federal Transit Administration (FTA) Elderly and Persons with

Disabilities (49 U.S.C. Section 5310) program assists private, nonprofit organizations and certain public entities in meeting the special transportation needs of elderly and disabled persons. SAFETEA legislation allows states to use their sliding scale match, determined by the percentage of federal funds in the state (11.47% in California), in lieu of the 20 percent match required by

previous authorizations.

Beginning in FY 1997-98, state legislation gave the California Transportation Commission (CTC) the responsibility for overseeing and managing the program. The CTC, in consultation with its statewide advisory committee, developed quantitative and objective criteria to be used statewide to evaluate and score the submitted projects. The CTC gave regions the flexibility to determine the level of participation in their review of the applications. MTC, as the Regional Transportation Planning Agency in the Bay Area, chose to involve the county Paratransit Coordinating Councils (PCCs) to assist in the evaluation process. At the completion of the PCCs evaluation process, scores from each county team were compiled into a regional list. Applicants were provided the list on March 17, 2006 and informed that they could make appeals to MTC by March 24, 2006 regarding their projects' final scores if they felt the county scoring team had failed to comply with the established evaluation procedures. At this time no appeals have been submitted.

MTC received applications from 29 agencies. All counties except San Mateo County submitted applications. The value of the project requests totaled roughly \$4.5 million, of which \$4.0 million (88.53%) is federal funds.

If approved by the Commission, the FTA Section 5310 regional priorities scoring will be transmitted to both the California Department of Transportation and the California Transportation Commission (CTC) for consideration as part of the statewide process. The program is tentatively scheduled for adoption by the CTC in September 2006.

Issues: There are no issues.

Recommendation: Refer MTC Resolution No. 3749 to the Commission for approval.

Attachments: MTC Resolution No. 3749

Attachment A to MTC Resolution No. 3749 – FTA Elderly and Persons with

Disabilities Program Project Review Process

Attachment B to MTC Resolution No. 3749–FTA Section 5310 Project

Priorities (Project List)

Date: April 26, 2006

W.I.: 1512 Referred By: PAC

ABSTRACT

Resolution No. 3749

This resolution adopts project priorities for the FY 2006-07 Federal Transit Administration (FTA) Elderly and Persons with Disabilities Program (49 U.S.C. Section 5310), as listed in Attachment B, and directs that the recommendations be submitted to Caltrans with the request that the projects be considered for funding and incorporated in the statewide Program of Projects submitted to the Federal Transit Administration.

Further discussion of this action is contained in the MTC Programming and Allocation Summary Sheet dated April 12, 2006.

Date: April 26, 2006

W.I.: 1512 Referred By: PAC

Re: FY 2006-07 FTA Elderly and Persons with Disabilities Program of Projects
Recommendations for the San Francisco Bay Area Region

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION RESOLUTION NO. 3749

WHEREAS, the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) is the regional transportation planning agency for the San Francisco Bay Area pursuant to Government Code sections 66500 <u>et seq.</u>; and

WHEREAS, Section 16 of the Federal Transit Act of 1991, as amended (49 U.S.C. 5310), authorizes grants to private, non-profit organizations and certain public entities to assist them in providing transportation services for elderly and handicapped persons; and

WHEREAS, the California Transportation Commission (CTC) must consider all project applications received within the state prior to submittal to the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) for funding approval; and

WHEREAS, MTC, as the Regional Transportation Planning Agency, is responsible for objectively reviewing and scoring projects submitted by applicants in the MTC region and for making recommendations concerning their suitability for funding; these recommendations are to be considered by the CTC in its preparation of the statewide Program of Projects; and

WHEREAS, MTC, as the Regional Transportation Planning Agency, is responsible for establishing a public participation plan and a Local Level Appeals Process for the applicants; and

WHEREAS, MTC Resolution No. 468 states that "MTC shall not endorse a federal or state transportation grant request by private, non-profit, or paratransit operators, including claimants under the FTA Elderly and Persons with Disabilities Program, unless the claimant shows to the satisfaction of the MTC evidence of willingness to participate in a countywide Paratransit Coordinating Council (PCC); now, therefore, be it

<u>RESOLVED</u>, that MTC has followed the FTA Elderly and Persons with Disabilities Program Project Review Process set forth in Attachment A, attached hereto and incorporated herein as though set forth in full; and, be it further

<u>RESOLVED</u>, that MTC has notified and involved all interested members of the public in the selection and ranking of FTA Elderly and Persons with Disabilities Program projects; and, be it further

<u>RESOLVED</u>, that, based on the outcome of such process, MTC endorses the project priorities and conditions as listed on Attachment B to this resolution, attached hereto and incorporated herein as though set forth at length; and, be it further

<u>RESOLVED</u>, that the Executive Director of MTC or his designee shall transmit these recommendations to the CTC, with the request that they be fully considered and incorporated by the CTC in its preparation of the statewide program of projects to be submitted to FTA for funding under the Elderly and Persons with Disabilities Program; and, be it further

<u>RESOLVED</u>, that a copy of this resolution shall also be transmitted to each county PCC which has participated in the FTA Elderly and Persons with Disabilities Program Project Review Process, and to other organizations as shall be appropriate; and, be it further

<u>RESOLVED</u>, that MTC will amend its Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) when appropriate to incorporate those projects approved at the state level for those applicants that have met the conditions noted in Attachment B.

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

Jon Rubin, Chair

The above resolution was entered into by the Metropolitan Transportation Commission at a regular meeting of the Commission held in Oakland, California on April 26, 2006.

Date: April 26, 2006

W.I.: 1512 Referred By: PAC

> Attachment A Resolution No. 3749 Page 1 of 1

MTC's FY 2006-07 FTA Elderly and Persons with Disabilities Program Project Review Process

- 1. Each project request received will be evaluated using the statewide criteria, which were developed by the California Transportation Commission (CTC). The evaluation criteria are divided into the following categories: 1) project need, 2) service effectiveness, 3) applicant ability, and 4) coordination efforts.
- 2. MTC, as the Regional Transportation Planning Agency, convenes nine county scoring teams to review and evaluate the applications submitted for funding under the FTA Section 5310 program. The composition of the county teams will be determined entirely by each Paratransit Coordinating Council (PCC). Scores will be assigned by the reviewers and then tallied for each request.
- 3. At the scoring meetings, team members review and score each request according to the prescribed statewide evaluation criteria. In brief, the criteria will include the following major factors:
 - how well the application proposes to meet the "special needs of the elderly and people with disabilities in areas where conventional public transit is unavailable, inadequate, or inappropriate"
 - how effective the existing fleet is being utilized by the applicant
 - applicant's financial and managerial capability to efficiently and effectively operate the proposed services
 - coordination of the applicant's services and other transportation related activities with other agencies, where opportunities exist to coordinate
- 4. At the completion of the evaluation process, scores from each team will be transmitted to MTC for compilation into a regional priority listing.
- 5. MTC, along with a representative from each of the nine county PCCs, will meet in an open forum format to hear and resolve the applicant appeals and to discuss the final scores and rankings.
- 6. Once all scoring issues are resolved, MTC staff will present the final recommendations to the Commission for adoption. Once adopted, the final list will then be transmitted to Caltrans for funding consideration.

FTA Section 5310 Program Priorities MTC - San Francisco Bay Area Region

						FED	TOTAL	TOTAL
	<u>APPLICANT</u>	COUNTY	PROJECT	TYPE*	<u>VIN</u>	AMT**	COST	SCORE
1	Outreach and Escort, Inc.	Santa Clara	Medium Bus	R	62877	49,577	56,000	100
2	Outreach and Escort, Inc.	Santa Clara	Medium Bus	R	65172	49,577	56,000	100
3	Outreach and Escort, Inc.	Santa Clara	Medium Bus	R	65154	49,577	56,000	100
4	Outreach and Escort, Inc.	Santa Clara	Medium Bus	R	65157	49,577	56,000	100
5	Outreach and Escort, Inc.	Santa Clara	Medium Bus	R	65331	49,577	56,000	100
6	Outreach and Escort, Inc.	Santa Clara	Medium Bus	R	62871	49,577	56,000	100
7	Outreach and Escort, Inc.	Santa Clara	Medium Bus	R	65329	49,577	56,000	100
8	Outreach and Escort, Inc.	Santa Clara	Medium Bus	R	65159	49,577	56,000	100
9	Outreach and Escort, Inc.	Santa Clara	Medium Bus	R	65337	49,577	56,000	100
10	Outreach and Escort, Inc.	Santa Clara	Medium Bus	R	65153	49,577	56,000	100
11	Outreach and Escort, Inc.	Santa Clara	Medium Bus	R	65155	49,577	56,000	100
12	Outreach and Escort, Inc.	Santa Clara	Medium Bus	R	65335	49,577	56,000	100
13	Bay Area Outreach & Recreation	Alameda	Medium Bus	R	16249	49,577	56,000	95
14	Marin Sr. Coordinating Council	Marin	Medium Bus	R	10325	49,577	56,000	91
15	Marin Sr. Coordinating Council	Marin	Medium Bus	R	10326	49,577	56,000	91
16	Pace Solano	Solano	Small Bus	R	6443	42,494	48,000	90
17	Pace Solano	Solano	Small Bus	R	4487	42,494	48,000	90
18	Pace Solano	Solano	Medium Bus	R	4491	49,577	56,000	90
19	Pace Solano	Solano	Medium Bus	R	12309	49,577	56,000	90
20	Pace Solano	Solano	Medium Bus	R	13091	49,577	56,000	90
21	Pace Solano	Solano	Large Bus	R	20986	53,118	60,000	90
			Computer, Software,					
22	Pace Solano	Solano	&Wheelchr Restraint	OE		14,342	16,200	90
23	Rehab. Services of Northern CA	Contra Costa	Small Bus	SE		42,494	48,000	89

^{*}R = Replacement, SE = Service Expansion, OE = Other Equipment

^{**}Assumes revised local match of 11.47% as a result of SAFETEA legislation.

						FED	TOTAL	TOTAL
	<u>APPLICANT</u>	COUNTY	PROJECT	TYPE*	<u>VIN</u>	AMT**	COST	SCORE
24	St. Mary's Med Ctr, Adult Day	San Francisco	Medium Bus	R	31313	49,577	56,000	88
25	St. Mary's Med Ctr, Adult Day	San Francisco	Medium Bus	R	27844	49,577	56,000	88
			Medium Bus and					
26	Coalition for Elders' Independence	Alameda	Mobile Radio	R	97664	50,905	57,500	87
27	Marin Sr. Coordinating Council	Marin	Medium Bus	R	10323	49,577	56,000	87
28	City of Rio Vista	Solano	Medium Bus	R	80590	49,577	56,000	86
29	Pace Solano	Solano	Modified Van	R	32570	44,265	50,000	86
30	Pace Solano	Solano	Small Bus	R	50015	42,494	48,000	86
31	Social Vocational Services	Alameda	Medium Bus	R	91842	49,577	56,000	83
32	Social Vocational Services	Alameda	Medium Bus	R	91835	49,577	56,000	83
33	Marin Sr. Coordinating Council	Marin	Medium Bus	R	10322	49,577	56,000	83
34	Marin Sr. Coordinating Council	Marin	Medium Bus	R	10324	49,577	56,000	83
35	Marin Sr. Coordinating Council	Marin	Medium Bus	R	10327	49,577	56,000	83
	Edgewood Center for Children and							
36	Families	San Francisco	Minivan	R	22866	38,068	43,000	83
			Medium Bus and					
37	Becoming Independent	Sonoma	Mobile Radio	R	26747	50,905	57,500	83
			Medium Bus and					
38	Becoming Independent	Sonoma	Mobile Radio	R	48591	50,905	57,500	83
39	Las Trampas	Contra Costa	Modified Van	R	13854	44,265	50,000	82
40	Las Trampas	Contra Costa	Modified Van	R	62652	44,265	50,000	82
41	Las Trampas	Contra Costa	Modified Van	R	56066	44,265	50,000	82
42	Veterans Home of CA, Yountville	Napa	Base Station	OE		3,276	3,700	82
43	HOPE Services	Santa Clara	Modified Van	R	08207	44,265	50,000	82
44	HOPE Services	Santa Clara	Modified Van	R	08158	44,265	50,000	
45	HOPE Services	Santa Clara	Modified Van	R	10458	44,265	50,000	82

^{*}R = Replacement, SE = Service Expansion, OE = Other Equipment
**Assumes revised local match of 11.47% as a result of SAFETEA legislation.

						FED	TOTAL	TOTAL
	APPLICANT	COUNTY	PROJECT	TYPE*	<u>VIN</u>	AMT**	COST	SCORE
			Modified Van and					
46	Mobile Assistance Patrol	San Francisco	Mobile Radio	R	21142	45,593	51,500	82
			Medium Bus and					
47	Coalition for Elders' Independence	Alameda	Mobile Radio	SE		50,905	57,500	81
			Medium Bus and					
48	Coalition for Elders' Independence	Alameda	Mobile Radio	SE		50,905	57,500	81
49	Caminar Solano	Solano	Modified Van	SE		44,265	50,000	81
50	Fred Finch Youth Center	Alameda	Modified Van	R	90022	44,265	50,000	80
51	Fred Finch Youth Center	Alameda	Modified Van	R	92570	44,265	50,000	80
52	Las Trampas	ContraCosta	Modified Van	R	04988	44,265	50,000	80
			Medium Bus and					
53	Coalition for Elders' Independence	Alameda	Mobile Radio	SE		50,905	57,500	79
			Small Bus and Mobile					
54	Veterans Home of CA, Yountville	Napa	Radio	R	29335	43,822	49,500	79
55	City of Benicia	Solano	Scheduling Software	OE		35,412	40,000	79
56	Aldersly Garden Retirement	Marin	Modified Van	R	10817	44,265	50,000	78
57	On Lok Senior Health Services	San Francisco	Modified Van	R	98373	44,265	50,000	77
58	On Lok Senior Health Services	San Francisco	Modified Van	R	09869	44,265	50,000	77
59	On Lok Senior Health Services	San Francisco	Modified Van	R	09867	44,265	50,000	77
60	On Lok Senior Health Services	San Francisco	Modified Van	R	09861	44,265	50,000	77
61	Edgewood Ctr for Children & Fam	San Francisco	Minivan	R	70829	38,068	43,000	77
62	Achievekids	Santa Clara	Minivan	R	48577	38,068	43,000	76
			Medium Bus and					
63	Coalition for Elders' Independence	Alameda	Mobile Radio	R	10335	50,905	57,500	75
64	Achievekids	Santa Clara	Minivan	R	01259	38,068	43,000	74

^{*}R = Replacement, SE = Service Expansion, OE = Other Equipment **Assumes revised local match of 11.47% as a result of SAFETEA legislation.

						FED	TOTAL	TOTAL
	<u>APPLICANT</u>	COUNTY	PROJECT	TYPE*	<u>VIN</u>	AMT**	COST	SCORE
			Base Stn,2 Mobile					
65	City of Rio Vista	Solano	Radios and Computer	OE		8,587	9,700	74
			3 Defensive Driving					
66	Caminar Solano	Solano	Videos	OE		1,195	1,350	74
67	Family Bridges, Inc	Alameda	Medium Bus	R	90671	49,577	56,000	72
			Medium Bus and					
68	Coalition for Elders' Independence	Alameda	Mobile Radio	R	10345	50,905	57,500	71
			Medium Bus and					
69	Coalition for Elders' Independence	Alameda	Mobile Radio	R	10346	50,905	57,500	71
70	North Bay Industries	Sonoma	1 Modified Van	SE		44,265	50,000	71
71	City of San Ramon	Contra Costa	Small Bus	SE		42,494	48,000	70
			Cameras and Other					
72	City of Santa Rosa	Sonoma	Equipment	OE		69,281	78,257	70
73	Family Bridges, Inc	Alameda	Medium Bus	R	29815	49,577	56,000	68
74	Achievekids	Santa Clara	Minivan	R	58401	38,068	43,000	68
75	Self-Help for the Elderly	San Francisco	Large Bus	R	86000	53,118	60,000	67
			Medium Bus and					
76	Veterans Home of CA, Yountville	Napa	Mobile Radio	R	75861	50,905	57500	63
			Medium Bus and					
77	Veterans Home of CA, Yountville	•	Mobile Radio	R	75860	50,905	57,500	63
78	Kimochi, Inc.	San Francisco	Modified Van	R	20111	44,265	50,000	63
			Small Bus and Mobile					
79	Veterans Home of CA, Yountville	Napa	Radio	R	75910	43,822	49,500	62
80	City of Santa Rosa	Sonoma	Small Bus	R	12479	42,494	48,000	56
81	City of Santa Rosa	Sonoma	Small Bus	R	12480	42,494	48,000	56
82	City of Santa Rosa	Sonoma	Small Bus	R	12481	42,494	48,000	56

^{*}R = Replacement, SE = Service Expansion, OE = Other Equipment **Assumes revised local match of 11.47% as a result of SAFETEA legislation.

					FED	TOTAL	TOTAL
<u>APPLICANT</u>	COUNTY	PROJECT	TYPE*	<u>VIN</u>	AMT**	COST	SCORE
83 City of Santa Rosa	Sonoma	Small Bus	R	12483	42,494	48,000	56
84 City of Santa Rosa	Sonoma	Small Bus	R	12484	42,494	48,000	56
		Largest Bus and					
85 India Community Center	Santa Clara	Mobile Radio	SE		165,994	187,500	42
86 India Community Center	Santa Clara	Computer	OE		885	1,000	36
87 Avenidas	Santa Clara	Large Bus	R	27502	53,118	60,000	36
TOTAL REQUESTS				•	4,001,297	4,519,707	•
							•

^{*}R = Replacement, SE = Service Expansion, OE = Other Equipment
**Assumes revised local match of 11.47% as a result of SAFETEA legislation.